Approval of Minutes
A motion was made by Councilperson Anderson to approve the minutes of the September 7, 2010 Special Council Meeting/Budget Hearing and the September 9, 2010, Regular Council Meeting. The motion was seconded by Councilperson Beeson. All voted aye, unanimous.

Reviewing of Bills
The council reviewed the bills. Councilperson Beeson questioned why the council is just getting the May and June MR&E bill. The city clerk explained that MR&E does not send a bill she has to calculate the bill. May and June is when the twice a week pick up starts and some people start in the middle of the month so it takes longer for her to calculate the bill.

A motion was made by Councilperson Sher and seconded by Councilperson Beeson to pay the bills as listed. All voted aye, unanimous.

Public Hearing on Idaho Community Development Block Grant Application for Wastewater Improvements.
A motion was made by Councilperson Beeson opening the public hearing on the Idaho Community Development Block Grant Application for Wastewater Improvements. The motion was seconded by Councilperson Anderson. All voted aye, unanimous.

The mayor then turned the time over to Susan Lorenz, Southeast Idaho Council of Governments, to explain the block grant application, costs and improvements. The block grant is for the Wastewater Improvement Project, Phase II funding. She presented a chart of the funding and projected project costs which showed that the funding covers the project costs. The project will eliminate discharge to the Portneuf River and consists of lagoons, replacing 3,450 feet of sewer main line, upgrading existing lagoon head works,
making upgrades to the existing lagoons and pumping system, replacing the aeration equipment in the existing lagoons and constructing 7,425 feet of effluent transmission line.

Councilperson Sher questioned if the project included relining the existing lagoons. Bryan Phinney explained that relining the existing lagoons is included in the upgrades. Susan Lorenz showed the application handbook. She explained that she is trying to get a photo for the front of the application.

There were no other comments, questions or input.

A motion was made by Councilperson Sher closing the public hearing. The motion was seconded by Councilperson Beeson. All voted aye, unanimous.

Wastewater Improvement Project – Susan Lorenz

A) STAGG Grant

Susan Lorenz, Southeast Idaho Council of Governments presented information on the STAGG Grant. The city has been awarded $243,000 to fund a piece of the project. She explained that in order to use this funding, the city needs to pull out a piece of the project and bid it separately or the city needs to let go of the money.

Ms. Lorenz explained the rules if the city chooses to pull out a piece of the project and use the STAGG Grant:

First: 45% of the money in the pulled out piece must be match and cannot be ARRA money. Ms. Lorenz reported that the city has funds that can be used as match for the STAGG Grant, Idaho Community Development Block Grant funds or city cash can be used.

Second: the pulled out piece has to go through the competitive bid process.

Ms. Lorenz showed three choices of how to use the STAGG Grant. She stated that a smaller project is where the city would see the most benefit because there would be less overhead costs involved in pulling out a piece of the project for funding. Bryan Phinney stated that the comparison is based on a percentage which is a best guess, it was put together to help the city understand how the STAGG Grant works.

Councilperson Anderson and Councilperson Sher questioned turning the funds back. Susan Lorenz stated that initially Julie Neff from USDA thought that it would cost as much to keep the funds as the fund itself so there would be no benefit to keeping the funds. However, as they have studied it out further, they have decided it may be beneficial to keep it. Julie Neff feels that the bids will
come in low enough that it won’t matter if the city keeps the STAGG Grant funds. Ms. Lorenz stated that USDA is a major funder in the second phase of the project so it is worth listening to their input.

The mayor asked if the wind turbine is out of the question. Ms. Lorenz has talked to the STAGG Grant funder and they are not interested in a wind turbine project. Ms. Lorenz reported that the council did not need to make a decision today and that they could wait until next month to decide.

A motion was made by Councilperson Sher to table the decision on the STAGG Grant funds and spend a little time on it. The motion was seconded by Councilperson Beeson. All voted aye, unanimous.

B) Citizen Participation Plan
Susan Lorenz presented the block grant requirements. She stated that one of the requirements for federal money is to have citizen participation. The Citizen Participation Plan has been done for some of the funding that the city received earlier but needs to be refreshed for the block grant.

A motion was made by Councilperson Beeson authorizing the mayor to sign the Citizen Participation Plan. The motion was seconded by Councilperson Sher. All voted aye, unanimous.

D) Certifications/Laws and Statutes
Susan Lorenz presented to council for consideration the certifications for the block grant. She explained the provisions.

A motion was made by Councilperson Anderson and seconded by Councilperson Beeson authorizing the mayor to sign the certifications for the block grant. All voted aye, unanimous.

C) Fair Housing Resolution
Susan Lorenz commented on the Fair Housing Resolution. Council approved and published the resolution in April 2010.

November, 2010 Ambulance District Service Tax Levy Initiative – Mike Irwin
Michael Williams, Pocatello Fire Department, presented information on the Ambulance District Service Tax Levy Election. The proposed levy increase is from .0025% to .004%.

Mr. Williams gave a brief history of the Bannock County Ambulance Service. In 1978 when the ambulance service started they had 1,387 calls for the year for a county population of 63,800 people. The 2009 call volume was 5,170 for a county population of 80,812 people. He stated that weekly there are times when there are no ambulances available. All ambulances were out on calls. The main concern is increase call volume for the reserve ambulances which is up from 168 calls in 2004 to a projected 210 calls in 2010. The concern to Lava Hot Springs is that if all the Advanced Life Support (ALS)
ambulances are on calls, there is no ALS service available to send to a Lava Hot Springs call if it is needed.

Mr. Williams explained the protocol of dispatching the ALS ambulance at the same time as the Basic Life Support (BLS) ambulance so that if the ALS is needed it is already on its way when the BLS (Lava Ambulance) arrives on the scene. This is done because most people who are reporting the calls are not qualified to assess if ALS is needed. If ALS is not needed, the BLS ambulance calls them off and it returns to Pocatello. This is a standard practice in most areas.

Mr. Williams explained that the study has shown that the new ambulance should be placed at station #2 because there is a high call volume in that area. This will free up the ambulance at station #1 to come to Lava Hot Springs when there is a call.

Mr. Williams reported that the City of Pocatello will be paying for 3 paramedics because 75% of the calls are in Pocatello and the balance of the cost would be spread throughout the rest of the county. He requested that the council and citizens support the levy increase.

**ISU Program to help Veterans get Education – Casey Wells**
Casey Wells presented the council with folders on the Idaho State University program to help veterans get education. He presented information on education and on campus housing dedicated to veterans. This program is the only one of its kind. It has been developed by ISU to help Veterans. He is trying to pass the word around and get it out to rural communities. The program has only been up and running for a year.

Councilperson Sher asked for information fliers that could be posted around town. Mr. Wells will get more information to be distributed in Lava Hot Springs.

Councilperson Beeson asked if this program is funded by the GI bill. Mr. Wells stated that the program is funded through ISU. ISU is one of the most veteran friendly universities in the nation. ISU tries to help all veterans. Mr. Wells thanked the council for their time.

**Lava Hot Springs KOA Monthly Sewer Charges – Cory & Tiffany Unsworth, Owners**
Cory Unsworth readdressed his concerns regarding the KOA’s sewer charges. He stated that city code 10-24-B1 states that sewer charges are based on user contribution to the sewer system. He doesn’t feel the KOA charges fit in the with the users contribution.

Mr. Unsworth stated that he has looked into studies, one of which indicates that a full hook up RV based on water consumption generates 23 gallons of sewage. Mr. Unsworth reported that he is using 500,000 gallons of water per year and is being charged a sewer rate for over 3 million gallons. He is coming before the city council to see what can be done to lower his user fees.
Mr. Unsworth presented some options to reducing the number of user fees they are being charged. He reported that the dump station is the only dump station in the area except for the Flying J. The KOA is charged 4 user fees for the dump station. The dump station was used less than 80 times by non-campers this season. Another option is to be charged for only the time that he uses the system. They do not use the system during the winter month except for their residence.

The mayor asked if Mr. Unsworth was present for the presentation by Susan Lorenz of SICOGe. He stated that six million one hundred forty nine thousand dollars ($6,149,000) is just one bill of what the city is going to incur on the sewer project.

Mr. Unsworth stated that he was not contributing 24 equivalent users of sewage to the system annually, it is questionable if he is contributing 24 equivalent users in the peak summer season. It was brought out that originally the equivalent user fee was estimated to be $70 per month after the wastewater project is completed. Because of grants that the city has received, etc. it is looking like the equivalent user fee will be about $40 per month.

Councilperson Beeson stated that this is a problem which affects not only the campgrounds but also those home owners who totally shut their homes down for the winter which continue to pay the equivalent user fee. The city is trying to get a sewer rate study put in place to make sure that everyone is paying their fair share of the project. He asked that Mr. Unsworth be patient and let the city complete this process.

Councilperson Beeson asked Mr. Unsworth if he is paying any property tax to the city because the property that the KOA sits on is state owned. Mr. Unsworth stated that they pay a lease to the state for the property. Mrs. Unsworth stated they have doubled the business and therefore doubled the option tax revenue which the city receives which contributes to the tax income.

Mr. Unsworth stated that the city code states; “The sewer user charge for each user or user class shall be based on the user’s contribution to the total wastewater loading of the treatment facilities in comparison to a standard equivalent user as defined.” He stated that the code doesn’t list the dump station as a separate equivalent user and they are being charged 4 equivalent user fee for it.

Mr. Unsworth stated that he feels that the user fee has been assigned to the KOA without any support for how it was determined. He presented a report on a similar campground study in Great Falls, Montana, over a seven (7) year period. He suggested possibly charging the campgrounds by occupancy. He doesn’t feel that he is being charged fairly on his contribution to the system.

Councilperson Beeson stated that the city is working on a new rate study. The city has found some things in the equivalent user fee schedule that are not accurate and need to be updated. He said that the council understands their frustration, but the city has to make sure the revenue is there to cover the debt on the new system which is federally
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mandated. He asked the business owners to be patient while the city works through the process.

Mr. Unsworth stated that since they approached the council last spring the bill has raised but no actions are being done. He concerns is that the fee will continue to go up and nothing will be done about the rate study.

The mayor reported that the city is working with an outside professional to complete the study which can sometimes be a slow process. Mrs. Unsworth asked if the rate study is going to be changed to support the bill. The mayor stated that the city is going to do the rate study and then will establish the number of equivalent users using the system, and then the council will establish the rate.

Mr. Unsworth stated that he wants to make sure that the businesses are not being gouged to make up extra funds needed. He reported that the dump station is required by KOA so he can not close it. Councilperson Anderson stated that the study should take into consideration the winter closure of campgrounds, etc.

Councilperson Beeson asked that the Unsworths give the city some time to get the rate study done. He stated that there are many hoops that the city is required to go through every time they try to do something. Mr. Unsworth requested that someone from the city reevaluate the charges to be more in line with the actual usage.

Councilperson Lowe asked if metering the sewer usage would be an option. The council discussed this. The council stated that they understand the Unsworths concerns and the city is working on a solution.

Planning & Zoning

A) River’s Edge Resort, LLC Zone Change – Survey, Legal Descriptions & Decision

The mayor stated that he would read the decision on the River’s Edge Resort, LLC Zone Change request and that no input would be allowed from the audience at this time. He stated that if the council has any questions or changes that they would like to have made that the council can discuss them. The mayor read the decision prepared by the attorney as follows:

**DECISION**

1. The recommendation of The Planning and Zoning Commission to rezone applicant’s property to C-2 Commercial is denied.

2. The request to rezone that property described in the Record of Survey, (Exhibit 6) and the legal description made a part of said survey (Exhibit 7) to C-1 Commercial from R-2 Residential is hereby approved.
3. All of the other property of applicant located from the bottom of the bluff to River Street shall remain zoned as R-2 Residential.

4. Pursuant to the rezone, the home located upon said property may be used as a vacation rental as it would be located in a C-1 zone.

5. Prior to the development of any other portions of said property the applicant would have to seek a building and/or zoning permit for any type of use on said property. Also primary access and emergency access to said property will have to be addressed to the satisfaction of the City.

   Councilperson Sher asked if there is an occupancy limit on any of the vacation rentals. The city clerk explained that when a property owner comes in for a change of use to establish a vacation rental, the building inspector does an inspection of the property and that the occupancy limit is set at that time.

   A motion was made by Councilperson Anderson accepting the Statement of Facts, Findings and Decision. The motion was seconded by Councilperson Beeson. All voted aye, unanimous.

B) Solar Systems
   The council discussed free standing and roof type solar panels. The mayor stated that he did not want to limit the use of solar panels and would like to allow free standing systems. Councilperson Beeson stated that roof mounted solar panels could be mounted on brackets and turned to face the south.

   Councilperson Sher stated that if the city is going to allow free standing solar panels there would need to be some consideration of the aesthetics and approval of the neighbors. She stated that the Planning and Zoning Commission is looking for some direction as to how to establish an ordinance to allow solar panels. What are the criteria of solar panels being appropriate?

   Councilperson Beeson stated that the council had turned down wind turbines because of the aesthetics. Councilperson Sher stated that it is also a height and noise issue on the wind turbines which are not an issue with solar panels. Councilperson Sher would like the Planning and Zoning to look at how other communities are addressing solar panels and the aesthetics of them. Councilperson Beeson stated that Soda Springs has recently established an ordinance on solar panels which might be helpful.

   A motion was made by Councilperson Sher to give the Planning and Zoning Commission the direction to look into other communities and see how they handle free standing solar panels. The motion was seconded by Councilperson Lowe. All voted aye, unanimous.
Building Permit(s)

A) Aura Soma Lava Vacation Home – 69 West Main – Bob & Evelee Rush, Owners/Contractor

The mayor asked Evelee if she had gotten her final inspection. Evelee stated that she had not scheduled a final inspection yet.

A motion was made by Councilperson Beeson to table approving the application until the inspection is complete. The motion was seconded by Councilperson Sher. All voted aye, unanimous.

B) Residential Repair/Improvement (entry way roof) – 258 West 1st Alley North – James L. Killingsworth, Owner; Whalan Rife, Contractor

A motion was made by Councilperson Anderson approving the building permit application for residential repair/improvement, James L. Killingsworth. The motion was seconded by Councilperson Sher. All voted aye, unanimous.

C) Home Hotel Remodel (remove kitchen, add room) – 306 E. Main – Evelee Hill, Owner/Contractor

A motion was made by Councilperson Anderson approving the building permit for the remodel of the Home Hotel, Evelee Hill. The motion was seconded by Councilperson Beeson. All voted aye, unanimous.

Discuss enacting an ordinance restricting equine transportation within city limits.

The council discussed the options on enacting an ordinance restricting equine transportation within the city limits. There were some random comments from the audience regarding horseback riding within the city. It was stated that there would need to be exceptions for special occasions such as parades or carriage rides for weddings, etc. The mayor stated that the police need an ordinance so that they can control problems that they are experiencing. He said that there are people that bring their teams to town and do not create any problems, however there has been one individual that has rode his horse to town and left it tied to the street lights, unattended, which has created some problems.

Councilperson Anderson stated that the council cannot write an ordinance for every problem that arises. Councilperson Beeson stated that this is a public nuisance. He asked if the city can cite the individual for a public nuisance. Councilperson Sher stated that horses in town could be one of those entrepreneurial businesses down the road. Bannock County Officer Taysom stated that that they cannot cite the individual just for riding to town. Councilperson Sher stated that not everyone that rides their horses to town is a public nuisance.

There was some discussion of public nuisance, proper licensing and insurance. The council reviewed and discussed the recommended addition to the ordinance as follows: “Herding any animal on any public thoroughfares, except Hwy. 30, is prohibited. No animal ridden or lead upon any public thoroughfare shall be left tethered or unattended at any time. The owner of the animal(s) will be liable for any damages the animal(s) cause to any public or private property.” The council discussed changing some of the wording.
A motion was made by Councilperson Beeson to table the issue and come up with a decision at a later time. The motion was seconded by Councilperson Sher. All voted aye, unanimous.

1% Tourism/Development/Promotion Funds

A) Marketing Plan Update – Evelee Hill & George Katsilometes
Evelee Hill reported that the Request for Proposals have been sent out. One of the consultants has visited Lava and will get back with their proposal within 2 weeks. The committee feels that it is critical for the consultants to tour the community before preparing a proposal. The other two consultants have not scheduled visits yet. It is a slow process.

Councilperson Sher questioned the committee’s organization. Ms. Hill stated that it is a Chamber subcommittee made up of lodging owners. She reviewed who the committee members are that serve on the committee. The committee will develop a plan which will be taken to the chamber for approval before it is brought to the city council for funding. Ms. Hill reported that David and Alice Grosso are interested in becoming event coordinators for the community. No action was taken at this time.

B) Proposal Form Changes – Council person Sher
Councilperson Sher presented a refined draft of the 1% tourism promotion tax proposal applications. One of the changes she has added in to the criteria is that the project reflects a planning process that requires group planning and decision making.

Councilperson Sher questioned page 2 where it says applicant legibility non-religious or non-political. Does this means non-religious/non-political organizations or non-religious/non-political proposals? Discussion followed. It was decided that the statement meant non-religious/non-political organization.

Evelee Hill stated that it would be nice if the council would turn over the proposal process to the chamber and the chamber committees. Councilperson Sher explained that the council has decided that the council will handle it themselves. The council is inviting the chamber to bring in proposals for the council’s approval. This proposal process is being developed through a feedback process.

Councilperson Sher stated that other items that were made to the application are: “City Council reserves the right to recommend a proposal to be funded in a future quarter.”, “How does your proposal benefit the community as a whole?”, and also the option of emailing the application form.

Councilperson Sher stated that she would be happy to do a workshop on the proposal application process.

The first second cycle deadline is December 16, 2010. These applications will be considered in January 2011.
The city clerk stated that the proposal could not be referred to as a grant. The organization will apply for project funding consideration but they will not receive the funds. All bills will come into the city and there will be a contract between the city and the agency/contractor that is providing the service.

The city clerk asked how the reporting process will work. There was discussion on the reporting process. Evelee Hill stated that the lodging tax reports should reflect an increase of revenue which would show the success of the event/advertizing. It was decided that “#6. Who will be responsible for implementing your grant and final report? (Organization, Individual, Contractor, Specialist) The final report is due thirty days after completion of project).”, would be stricken from the application.

C) Schedule special meeting to review quarterly funding proposals.
Councilperson Sher stated that she feels that the proposals for 1% tourism promotion tax funds should be reviewed and considered at a special city council meeting so that the city council can give the review process the consideration it deserves. It was agreed that this would be a good way to handle them.

Wagon Wheel Lounge’s Liquor Catering Permit for Oktoberfest - October 16, 2010
The city clerk reported that the Wagon Wheel had paid for the catering permit but has not brought in the catering permit form for the Oktoberfest. Vicky Lyon reported that this project has come together rather quickly and that Sam Netuschil has put a lot of work and research into the event. The funds raised from the event will go towards establishing a walking/biking trail around the city. The funds will be a separate account under the Chamber.

A motion was made by Councilperson Anderson approving the catering permit if the paper work is in order tomorrow. The motion was seconded by Councilperson Sher.

Councilperson Beeson questioned if liquor catering permits are required to be submitted thirty days before the event. The city clerk explained that State law does not require thirty days, but there have been other cities that have put a thirty day application deadline into their ordinance. The council has discussed establishing an application deadline so that the liquor catering permits don’t come in the last minute. No decision was made.

The mayor called for a vote on the motion. All voted aye, unanimous.

Law Enforcement
A) Monthly Report
Officer Taysom reported that things have quieted down since Labor Day. Councilperson Sher complimented the officer for the night time patrols. There has been no more graffiti. The officers are trying to do some more foot and bike patrols. Paul Fagnant has taken over the bike patrol program and there are more patrols being done on bikes. They are also working on curfew issues.
**B) 2011 Contract Renewal**

The mayor reported that there are no changes or raises in the 2011 Law Enforcement Contract. A motion was made by Councilperson Beeson authorizing the mayor to sign the 2011 Law Enforcement Contract. The motion was seconded by Councilperson Sher. All voted aye, unanimous.

**Idaho Intergovernmental Insurance Authority (A Health Care Joint Power Authority – A Strategic Partnership of Cities Supporting Cities) – City Clerk**

The city clerk presented information on the Idaho Intergovernmental Insurance Authority proposal. The City of Ketchum is spearheading the project. They are trying to form an association to study the possibility of eventually being self insured. At the present time, Ketchum and Fruitland are the only members. They have contacted cities that have responded to surveys and are Blue Cross insured.

The proposal is to hire a consultant out of Montana. The consultant has done a similar project in Montana which has been very successful. The proposal for Lava Hot Springs is that the city would participate in it for a period of three years. After the three years, the city could opt out. The projected fee would be around $5.55 per employee per month (300 participating employees) if the employee enrollment increased to 500 employees the fee would be $4.93, or $237 to $304 per year.

The city clerk explained that what the authority group would do in the first three years is a feasibility study. The city would still pay the Blue Cross premiums, and we would be locked into staying with Blue Cross for the three years. The study would monitor the premiums and the claims for all members for the three year period. Based on the information collected, they would determine if it was feasible for the group to be self insured.

The city clerk has sent the proposal to the attorney for review. The group will want one member from Lava to serve on the board of directors. The council will discuss this proposal at a special meeting after the attorney has a chance to review the information.

**Meetings/Announcements**

The city clerk invited the council to attend breakfast tomorrow morning with the Governor. It will be held at the Community Building at 7:45 a.m. The city is providing a continental breakfast.

**Projects**

**A) Condensed Mission Statement – Council person Sher**

Councilperson Sher presented a condensed mission statement to be used for grant writing purposes as follows:

“Lava Hot Springs is surrounded by natural beauty, and bestowed with the rare natural resources of hot mineral waters and the Portneuf River. These resources attract visitors from all over the globe and provide an appealing life-style to a
community of full-time residents. City Government welcomes diversity in business, year-round tourism, as well as residential life. The City Government is committed to serve with balance, the diverse needs of this vibrant small mountain town.

City Government will provide quality city services, infrastructure, and public safety, while encouraging respect for the natural resources that make Lava Hot Springs a unique community. The City Government seeks to lead through informed decisions and well planned growth. Lava Hot Springs City Government intends to support the town’s friendly, home town feeling and its history of being an ideal place to visit, operate businesses, and provide community residents with a wonderful place to call home.”

The council was supportive of the brief version of the mission statement.

B) IFFT Foundation Grant from the Idaho Community Foundation/Playground Surface Project

Councilperson Sher reported on the IFFT Foundation Grant. She reported that the city didn’t get the additional appropriation. The City has proved up on the matching funds. The project will be completed in the spring.

The city clerk has called on the Game Time grant. This project is not eligible for surface material, it is for play ground equipment only.

C) Winterizing Public Restrooms

Councilperson Anderson reported that the irrigation systems at the city park have been winterized. Her recommendation was to close the restrooms and winterize the Veterans Park restrooms. Water from the Buddy Campbell Park restrooms is needed for a couple more weeks to water the new trees. She recommended a “Closed for the Season” sign. There was some discussion on this. The council decided that the restrooms at the Veterans Park would be left open until the end of the month and the restroom janitors will clean the restrooms until they are closed. The restrooms at the Buddy Campbell Park will be closed, and then winterized after there is no more need to water the new trees.

D) Wastewater Improvement Project

1) Phase I Change Order #2

Change order #2 was discussed. The mayor reported that the city is still working on and negotiating the change order items. The mayor and city clerk explained that part of the change order is for watering; when the project was bid the contractor was planning on the ground being moist. Because the environmental was not done on time, the project was delayed and the ground was dry; therefore the contractor had to apply water for dust control and compaction. Kilroy is keeping track of the water they are hauling from city limits. Kilroy is now able to get water from the canal onsite.
Councilperson Beeson stated that the monitoring wells should have been included in the bid documents. DEQ didn’t require the monitoring wells until after the bids were completed.

The mayor stated that the gravel road will be taken out of the bid and that only 100 feet of fence was put into the initial bid. The mayor stated that the city can get the road and fence done cheaper by taking it out of the project and doing them ourselves.

2) Transmission Line Easements
The mayor reported that all the property owners involved in the transmission line easements are in agreement to give the city the easement except Brett Casperson. The county has agreed to allow the city to put the transmission line in the county easement past Mr. Casperson’s property. The mayor reported that State law requires that each property owner put in and maintain their half of the fence. The council agreed to pursue legal actions to require Mr. Casperson to put in his half of the fence. The city is trying to get the change order under $200,000.

E) Miscellaneous
The city clerk reported that she has researched the legal fees that the city has paid on the waste water project. DEQ is willing to reimburse the city for the legal fees which have already been paid. The clerk asked for a motion authorizing her to submit the legal fees for reimbursement. The total of the fees is $4,518.75. There may be $800 for the aerators that is not eligible for reimbursement.

A motion was made by Councilperson Sher authorizing the city clerk to submit the billings for legal fees to DEQ for reimbursement. The motion was seconded by Councilperson Beeson. All voted aye, unanimous.

Discussion – Items from the audience. None

Adjournment:
A motion was made by Councilperson Lowe to adjourn. The motion was seconded by Councilperson Sher. All voted aye, unanimous.

The meeting adjourned at 8:15 p.m.

__________________________  ______________________________
Trish Avery, Transcriber                                              Marshall N. Burgin, Mayor

______________________________________
Canda L. Dimick, City Clerk (proof read)
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