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Reader’s Theater

Discussing Double Standards

Setting: Juliet, Wendy, and Adrienne meet up on the way to school.

Juliet: My parents are the worst. My brother Colin gets away with everything and I’m always in trouble. Grounded again.

Wendy: What? Why?

Juliet: For swearing. My dad confronted me about it this morning. He said I should know better. Be more ladylike. But Colin swears all the time and it’s like they can’t hear him. #sickofit

Adrienne: Your dad is living in the past. You need to help him reconcile his views with reality. Remind him that women are in the military and on the police force, they drive buses, they fix computers—they do the same jobs and have the same stressors that make men swear. It’s a new world. Tell him that you and Colin deserve to be treated equally and if Colin swears and nothing happens to him, you should not be grounded for it.

Wendy: Adrienne, do you seriously think her dad is going to change his opinion? He’s ancient. He grounded her because he wants her to behave “properly.” Laws may be forcing institutional change in the military, but that doesn’t mean people’s attitudes change. My mom had the news on the other night and they were talking about the apathy of the military when female soldiers are abused. Like they say, the more things change, the more they stay the same.

Adrienne: Seriously? Women position themselves to run companies and run for president. And you’re saying things haven’t changed? I personally have big plans for my future.

Juliet: Well yeah, some things have changed. But I’m saying attitudes stick around, just below the surface. Whenever Colin screws up, my dad says stuff like, “Boys will be boys!” and shrugs it off. I could use a little of that apathy. He is all over me though and always in my business.

Wendy: I’m with Juliet. Women are always being held to a different standard. Take politics: The media subtly portray female candidates as less serious by focusing on their appearance, their hairdo, or their voice quality, but don’t talk about those things for the guys running for office. Or they discuss the female candidate’s clothes like what designer she is wearing—but never talk about what the men are wearing. They are complicit in keeping up the double standard, and someone needs to be more articulate about their biases.

Adrienne: But the thing is, women are winning elections. When our great grandparents were around, women couldn’t even vote! Now men lose elections if the female vote is not in their favor, so they all have to carefully articulate their views on women’s issues. Like I said, it’s a whole new world. You’ll just hold yourself back if you think you need to confront every slight. I’m planning to position myself for success.

Juliet: I wish I could be as confident as you. But double standards don’t apply just to gender. As a black female I get hit with attitudes about race and gender. I have a hard time reconciling my goals and beliefs with “the way things are.” As females, on average we will make less money than males will. But as a black girl, I am likely to be compensated even less than you two. #doublewhammy

Wendy: How’s this possible? Isn’t that illegal?

Juliet: Like you said yourself Wendy, laws can change, but attitudes often stay the same! And attitudes can determine who gets the good jobs.

Adrienne: Okay, but Barack Obama was elected President of the United States. I’d say that’s a good job.

Wendy: No denying. But I’ll bet he confronted his share of double standards.

Adrienne: I am sure he did, but ultimately he won. That’s what I’m saying. It’s a whole new world.

Juliet: Yeah? I wish someone would tell my dad.

TURN, TALK, AND WRITE

With a partner, discuss what it means for something to be a double standard. Then write your explanation on the lines below.

_______________________________________
_______________________________________
_______________________________________
_______________________________________
Throughout history, and still today, people in power have determined how different groups in the population are treated. The purpose of a representative democracy is to make those in power act on behalf of the people who elect them. We have a constitution, which guides the laws of the United States and articulates basic rights for all citizens.

However, in our history, the Constitution has been amended several times to reconcile its terms with changing views about people's rights. For the first 150 years of our country's history, those positioned to make decisions were chosen by only a segment of the population, with many groups excluded.

In the beginning of our democracy in 1776, only landowning white males of the Protestant faith could vote. Below is a timeline showing how voting rights have been legally expanded.

Today, any citizen over 18 is allowed to vote, regardless of race, gender, religion, disability, language, or income. As you can see, our laws have changed over time to extend rights to those who had been denied them. As a country, we have worked to confront institutional inequality and the restriction of rights to certain groups. Congress is the part of the U.S. government that is responsible for creating laws, and members of Congress are chosen in elections so that they can represent the interests of the people. So we might expect that expanding voting rights to African Americans and women would automatically mean more blacks and more women in Congress. However, new laws don’t necessarily change how people think.

The charts on the next page show information about the U.S. population and Congress from 1900 and 2015.
Comparing the 56th Congress and the 114th Congress

56th CONGRESS (IN 1900)

**BY GENDER**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>U.S. POPULATION</th>
<th>CONGRESS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>female 49%</td>
<td>female 0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>male 51%</td>
<td>male 100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**BY RACE AND ETHNICITY**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>U.S. POPULATION</th>
<th>CONGRESS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>white 88%</td>
<td>white 99.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nonwhite 12%</td>
<td>nonwhite 0.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

114th CONGRESS (IN 2014-2015)

**BY GENDER**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>U.S. POPULATION</th>
<th>CONGRESS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>female 50.8%</td>
<td>female 20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>male 49.2%</td>
<td>male 80%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**BY RACE AND ETHNICITY**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>U.S. POPULATION</th>
<th>CONGRESS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>white 62%</td>
<td>white 81.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>black 12%</td>
<td>black 8.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hispanic 18%</td>
<td>hispanic 7.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>asian 6%</td>
<td>asian 2.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>native american 1%</td>
<td>native american 0.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Congressional Research Service
Comparing the 56th Congress and the 114th Congress

1. Which groups are overrepresented? How did this change between the 56th and 144th Congress?

___________________________________________________________________________________________

2. Which groups are underrepresented? How did this change between the 56th and 144th Congress?

___________________________________________________________________________________________

Your friend posted on Facebook, “Laws may change quickly, but attitudes take more time.” Would you agree or disagree with this statement? Use the congressional data to support your response.

___________________________________________________________________________________________

___________________________________________________________________________________________

___________________________________________________________________________________________

___________________________________________________________________________________________
The Privilege Game

What is privilege?

*Privilege is an advantage or benefit that only some people have.*

What does the “American Dream” mean to you?

__________________________________________________________________________________________

__________________________________________________________________________________________

__________________________________________________________________________________________

**Directions:** Your teacher will give you a scrap of paper. Write your name on it in big letters. Then, in the space below, make a sketch of the student desks or tables in your classroom. Finally, place your name in the spot where you are sitting and follow your teacher’s instructions. For the purpose of this game, your parents used their life savings to buy you the seat that you are in.
The Privilege Game

What is privilege?

Who was most likely to achieve their goal?

__________________________________________________________________________________________
__________________________________________________________________________________________

From where you were seated, were you positioned to win?

__________________________________________________________________________________________
__________________________________________________________________________________________

What was fair about this game? What was unfair?

__________________________________________________________________________________________
__________________________________________________________________________________________

TURN AND TALK

What can laws do to make things more equal for those who are positioned further from the American Dream (represented by the basket)? What limitations do laws have in overcoming the discrimination that places people at a disadvantage?

TURN, TALK, AND WRITE

If you could explain what you learned from this activity to someone else, what would you say? Be sure to include the terms “privilege” and “American Dream.”

__________________________________________________________________________________________
__________________________________________________________________________________________
__________________________________________________________________________________________
__________________________________________________________________________________________
__________________________________________________________________________________________
__________________________________________________________________________________________
Building Background Knowledge

Legislating Racism: Jim Crow Segregation

Slavery in the U.S. was outlawed in 1865 with the adoption of the 13th Amendment to the Constitution. In the years following, the 14th and 15th amendments guaranteed citizenship, protection of laws, and the right to vote for people of all races. Finally, it seemed like institutional racial discrimination in the U.S. was coming to an end. But beginning in the 1880s, states began passing laws that articulated ways to discriminate against nonwhites. Together, these laws were known as the Jim Crow laws, and they positioned nonwhites as second-class citizens. Here are some examples of Jim Crow laws:

**Restaurants:** It shall be unlawful to conduct a restaurant or other place for the serving of food in the city, at which white and colored people are served in the same room, unless such white and colored persons are effectually separated by a solid partition extending from the floor upward to a distance of seven feet or higher, and unless a separate entrance from the street is provided for each compartment. (Alabama)

**Marriage:** It shall be unlawful for a white person to marry anyone except a white person. Any marriage in violation of this section shall be void. (Georgia)

**Textbooks:** Books shall not be interchangeable between the white and colored schools, but shall continue to be used by the race first using them. (North Carolina)

Some people tried to challenge these laws, but most courts responded with apathy. In 1896, the Supreme Court also became complicit in the racial segregation that was sweeping over the United States. In a case called Plessy v. Ferguson, the Supreme Court declared that Jim Crow laws did not violate the Constitution. The justices said that “separate but equal” facilities were not a problem and did not imply that one race was better than the other. But in reality, “colored” restaurants, schools, and subway cars were in worse condition and more inconvenient to get to than white facilities. Jim Crow segregation continued until another Supreme Court case called Brown v. Board of Education in 1954 and the Civil Rights Act of 1964 finally made it illegal to discriminate against people because of race, color, religion, sex, or national origin.

**TURN AND TALK**

Think back to the Privilege Game. What group(s) did the Jim Crow laws position at a disadvantage?

One problem with the “separate but equal” doctrine was that facilities were clearly not equal. Black schools served more children with fewer resources than white schools. But what if “separate but equal” really meant equal, and both groups got a fair share of the available resources? Would that make you want to rethink Plessy v. Ferguson or Brown v. Board of Education?
The GI Bill and Redlining

After World War II, Congress passed the Serviceman’s Readjustment Act (also called the GI Bill). This act was intended to help returning soldiers reintegrate into society and was designed to **compensate** veterans for their years of service. Included in the act were loans to purchase homes and achieve the American Dream.

Owning a home is one of the most important steps in building wealth because homes become more valuable over time. Most of the time, people don’t pay for their homes all at once. They pay for some of it, and then borrow money from the bank to pay the rest. Then, they slowly pay the bank back over time.

However, not all veterans returning home had the same access to home loans. The U.S. federal government instructed banks not to help people of color to buy homes because their presence would bring down property values. Additionally, banks made certain that any money lent to purchase housing was not in any redlined area, or area where people of color lived. The government articulated that it was important to avoid “infiltration of inharmonious racial or nationality groups,” meaning people of color were not allowed to live in white neighborhoods. These discriminatory practices continued until the 1960s.

TURN, TALK, AND WRITE

How was the government **complicit** in promoting racial segregation?

_______________________________________________________________________________________

_______________________________________________________________________________________

Why do you think that several decades later, many cities in the U.S. are still racially segregated?

_______________________________________________________________________________________

_______________________________________________________________________________________

It has been several decades since the Brown v. Board of Education decision, the Civil Rights Act of 1964, and the end of the discriminatory lending described above. Still, there are major disparities in wealth between white and black families.

**Median Family Wealth by Race**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Race</th>
<th>Wealth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1963</td>
<td>nonwhite</td>
<td>$2,390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>white</td>
<td>$46,160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>black</td>
<td>$11,030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>white</td>
<td>$134,230</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: http://apps.urban.org/features/wealth-inequality-charts/

**DISCUSSION QUESTION**

How has the wealth disparity changed over the last 50 years? How did slavery, Jim Crow, the GI Bill, and redlining play a role in this disparity?
Righting Some Past Wrongs

Jigsaw Activity

The United States is not the only country that has a history of legislated racism. On the next page, read about what some other countries have done and how they have tried to **reconcile** their wrongs.

With a small group, complete the chart for the country your teacher assigns you. You will be responsible for explaining your assigned country to the other groups. Fill in the chart below with your country’s information.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Who was wronged?</th>
<th>Who did the wronging?</th>
<th>When did this happen?</th>
<th>How is the country trying to right these wrongs?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Our group is assigned:

- Spain
- Canada
- South Africa

Space to take notes about other groups’ countries:

- Spain
- Canada
- South Africa
Spain
In the 1400s, Jewish people played an important role in Spanish life. They were accustomed to discrimination for their religious beliefs, but in 1492, things took a turn for the worse. The king and queen of Spain issued the Alhambra Decree, which stated that all Jews had to convert to Catholicism, leave Spain, or be put to death. Many Jews converted, many left, and many were killed. In 2014, over 500 years later, Spain made an effort to reconcile this ugly past. They have offered Spanish citizenship to any Jewish person who can prove that their ancestors were expelled from Spain. The Spanish government hopes that this act will compensate for shameful events in the country’s past.

Canada
When the French and British colonized what is now Canada, there were people living there. These people are known as the First Nations of Canada. The government of Canada positioned First Nations people as inferior and thought that they needed to become more European. From the 1880s to the 1990s, Canada took an institutional approach to achieving this goal: They set up residential (boarding) schools that First Nations children were forced to attend. Residential school survivors have recalled the abuse and suffering that they confronted in school. In recent years, they demanded an investigation into residential school practices. In 2015, shedding the cloak of apathy, Canada released a report articulating recommendations to acknowledge and confront its past. Recommendations included changing laws, building monuments, and adjusting health care to make things better for First Nations people.

South Africa
Starting in the 17th century, South Africa was colonized by a number of European countries. The white Europeans dominated the country and created a government designed to oppress the black South Africans through law and force. These laws were collectively known as apartheid, literally meaning “apartness” or separation. In 1948, apartheid became the law of the land, restricting the rights of nonwhites to own land and businesses and to reside, go to school, or even cross into areas that were designated as “white only.” In 1994, South Africa started to confront its past and search for ways to reconcile years of institutional racism by publically articulating its wrongs and searching for ways to compensate for the suffering the government caused. South Africa made symbolic, individual, and community reparations. The government paid out money to victims, created monuments, renamed streets and public venues, and worked to expand health care to those who had suffered. In the case of South Africa, the policy summary stated, “These measures cannot bring back the dead, or adequately compensate for pain and suffering, but they can improve the quality of life for victims of gross human rights violations and/or their dependents.”
Reparations, or compensation for institutional wrongdoing, can come in a variety of forms. In 2014, Spain offered citizenship to the descendants of Jewish people who were expelled. South Africa’s government has paid money to individuals who suffered under apartheid. The South African government has also provided reparations through various actions: providing health care, renaming public buildings and facilities, and creating institutional reforms to ensure equal opportunities to people of all backgrounds.

Michigan Representative John Conyers, Jr., has introduced legislation each year since 1989 to establish a government commission that would study the institution of slavery and treatment of freed slaves, explore the impact of slavery on present day blacks, and make recommendations to Congress about how to remedy the damage done to living blacks. However, this bill, HR 40, has never received enough support even to be discussed in Congress.

Debate:
Should the United States government compensate African Americans in order to acknowledge its past?

Yes.
Compensation should be paid.

Examples of people articulating their positions:

“We’re not raising claims that you should pay us because you did something to us 150 years ago,” said Adjoa Aiyetoro, a law professor at the University of Arkansas who believes reparations should be paid. “We are saying that we are injured today by the vestiges of slavery, which took away income and property that was rightfully ours.”

Randall Robinson, a lawyer and activist, wrote that reparations are a simple legal argument. “When government participates in a crime against humanity, and benefits from it, then that government is under the law obliged to make the victims whole. That’s recognized as a principle of law.”

No.
Compensation should not be paid.

Examples of people articulating their positions:

Conservative author David Horowitz wrote 10 reasons against reparations. Here are two: “Only a tiny minority of white Americans ever owned slaves, and others gave their lives to free them.” and “America today is a multi-ethnic nation and most Americans have no connection (direct or indirect) to slavery.”

Jon Stossel, a Fox News correspondent, stated in a T.V. segment called Freeloaders, “It’s odd in that no group has been more helped by government than the American Indians, and no group does worse.”
In 1963, at the height of the Civil Rights movement, thousands of African Americans were joined by people of all colors in the March on Washington for Jobs and Freedom, demanding equal rights and the end to institutional racial disparities. Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr., gave his famous “I have a dream” speech during that gathering.

In 1964, Dr. King wrote Why We Can’t Wait, a book about the need to continue the struggle against racial segregation. In the book, he discusses the systemic destruction of the Native American way of life and how popular culture continues to celebrate its downfall. The following is an excerpt from the book:

Our nation was born in genocide when it embraced the doctrine that the original American, the Indian, was an inferior race. Even before there were large numbers of Negroes on our shores, the scar of racial hatred had already disfigured colonial society. From the sixteenth century forward, blood flowed in battles of racial supremacy. We are perhaps the only nation which tried as a matter of national policy to wipe out its indigenous population. Moreover, we elevated that tragic experience into a noble crusade.

Indeed, even today we have not permitted ourselves to reject or to feel remorse for this shameful episode. Our literature, our films, our drama, our folklore all exalt it.

That same year, Dr. King was awarded the Nobel Peace Prize for his fight for equality. In 1968, Dr. King was assassinated.

Using information from the unit and your own experiences, write a blog entry that you would want Dr. King to read updating him on how the United States has or has not changed in the last 50 years. Two possible options are:

The United States has taken great steps to achieve racial equality.

or

The United States has not confronted its past and still suffers from institutional racism.

Defend your position, which may be one of these or something in between.
Nelson Mandela spent his life confronting legislated racism and fought tirelessly for equal rights for black South Africans. As a result, he was imprisoned for 27 years, until he was released and elected the first black president of South Africa.

President Mandela reported that he recited the following poem, “Invictus,” to himself every day he was imprisoned on Robben Island. He took courage from the central idea of the poem, that we have control over our own souls, even if others can exert control over our circumstances and our lives.

**Invictus**

*BY WILLIAM ERNEST HENLEY*

Out of the night that covers me,
Black as the pit from pole to pole,
I thank whatever gods may be
For my unconquerable soul.

In the fell clutch of circumstance
I have not winced nor cried aloud.
Under the bludgeonings of chance
My head is bloody, but unbowed.

Beyond this place of wrath and tears
Looms but the Horror of the shade,
And yet the menace of the years
Finds and shall find me unafraid.

It matters not how straight the gate
How charged with punishments the scroll,
I am the master of my fate,
I am the captain of my soul.

*Invictus* means “undefeated.” Several phrases in the poem reflect the sense of being undefeated:

- “my unconquerable soul”
- “My head is bloody, but unbowed”
- “I am the master of my fate, I am the captain of my soul”
TURN, TALK, AND WRITE

What might Mandela have been thinking about when he read “this place of wrath and tears”?
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________

What parts of the poem do you think may have been particularly important in giving Mandela hope while he was in prison on Robben Island?
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________

Two phrases from this poem are commonly repeated, often by people who don’t know this poem: “bloody, but unbowed” and “I am the captain of my soul.” Why do you think these two phrases, but not others from this poem, have achieved such popularity?
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
After the Civil War, two measures were designed to position freed slaves for self-reliance. First, General William Tecumseh Sherman ordered 400,000 acres of land to be set aside for former slaves in the Southeastern United States. Second, the Freedmen’s Bureau—a federal government agency—planned to distribute 900,000 acres of land among freed slaves so they could farm the land and purchase it after three years. Neither measure was meant as compensation for the wrongs of slavery, but rather as a way to help former slaves become independent. Both measures were blocked by President Andrew Johnson, who succeeded Abraham Lincoln after his assassination.

1 a. Under each of the measures explained above, former slaves would receive 40-acre plots of land. Use the following information to figure out how many football fields would fit into 40 acres.

- One acre is about 4,050 square meters.
- A football field is about 5,400 square meters.

b. About 4 million slaves were freed as a result of the Emancipation Proclamation. If 10% of the freed slaves were male and over the age of 18 (and therefore eligible for a land parcel), how much land in square meters would have been allocated?

c. This amount of land is closest in size to the area of which state? (Circle the best answer.)

- West Virginia: 6.3 \times 10^{10} square meters
- Oregon: 2.5 \times 10^{11} square meters
- Rhode Island: 4 \times 10^9 square meters
- Alaska: 1.7 \times 10^{12} square meters

2. Some people have proposed that descendants of African American slaves should receive compensation for the hours that their ancestors spent working for free. Using the following figures, calculate the amount of money that would be owed to descendants of slaves according to this logic.

- From 1790 to 1860, the average number of slaves in the United States at any given time was 2 million.
- Slaves worked at least 6 days a week, 10 hours per day.
- The federal minimum wage in 2015 was about $7.25, but use $7 for your calculations.

TURN AND TALK

Do you believe that reparations are necessary to reconcile the damages of slavery? If so, would you recommend that reparations be used to pay individuals or build institutions that benefit affected populations? Explain your reasoning.
Have you ever seen a TV character do something really embarrassing, and you felt embarrassed too, as if it were happening to you? If so, you can thank your mirror neurons—cells in your brain that respond not only to your own experiences but to the experiences of others. Scientists, led by Giacomo Rizzolatti at the University of Parma in Italy, discovered mirror neurons in the 1980s and 1990s when they conducted several experiments with macaque monkeys.

In one experiment, the scientists attached sensors to the monkeys' brains and then measured the neuron activity when the monkeys picked up a piece of food and again when the monkeys observed a person picking up a piece of food. The results were surprising. Some neurons responded only when the monkeys picked up food themselves, but a small percentage of neurons also responded when the monkeys watched humans pick up food. These neurons were named mirror neurons, since they “mirrored” the activity of another animal. Rizzolatti later demonstrated that humans also have mirror neuron systems in their brains. Since these discoveries, scientists around the world have hypothesized that mirror neurons play an essential role in human development and consciousness.

Mirror Neurons and Empathy

When undergoing brain surgery, patients are often kept awake and conscious in order to prevent complications. At the University of Toronto, a man named Smith was having brain surgery. During the operation, the surgeon inserted a sensor into an area of the brain that senses pain. When the team poked Smith's finger with a needle, the doctor was able to find a neuron that fired in response. Then, the team poked another patient's finger with a needle, and Smith's neuron fired with the same intensity! Smith's brain was literally processing the other person's pain as if it was his own. The ability to understand and share another person's feelings or experience is known as empathy. When we feel saddened by the pain or misfortune of another or we rejoice at someone else's success, we are experiencing empathy. When we feel part of a character's journey that we read about in a book, we are being empathetic. For many years, scientists struggled to explain empathy. The discovery of mirror neurons not only helped to explain empathy but opened up an exciting avenue for exploration, and may even lead to treatment for people who are apathetic to other peoples' experiences.

Mirror Neurons and Phantom Limb Pain

Dr. Vilayanur Ramachandran is a professor at the University of California at San Diego who explores therapeutic applications for mirror neurons. Much of Ramachandran's work has been with amputees, that is, people who have had a part of their body removed. For example, many U.S. soldiers have had their arms or legs so badly injured that to save their lives, the injured limbs have had to be cut off, or amputated. Amputees often feel like their missing body parts are still attached, and they articulate feelings of pain and itching where the amputated parts once were. This phenomenon is known as phantom limb, because the affected body part, like a ghost, is not physically present. Many amputees seek medical treatment to confront their phantom limb pain. Ramachandran developed a unique therapy for relieving amputees of this phantom pain using the following scientific ideas:

continued on the next page
Ramachandran first applied mirror neurons to phantom limb pain with a patient named Humphrey. Humphrey's hand was amputated after he was injured in war, and he had a "phantom hand." Ramachandran asked Humphrey to sit and watch as he tapped his student Julie's hand. Humphrey was shocked to actually feel the taps on his own hand. Then, Julie held an ice cube. Humphrey could actually feel the cold as if the ice cube was in his own hand. Finally, Julie massaged her own hand and soothed a pain in Humphrey's hand! How could this be? Because Humphrey didn't have a hand, it couldn't send any signals to his brain to say that it wasn't actually being touched. The only signal came from Humphrey's mirror neurons, so Humphrey felt the touch as if it was his own.

Having confirmed the power of mirror neurons, Ramachandran developed a therapy for phantom limb pain using a mirror box (see right). He has an amputee place his stump inside of a box that has a mirror on the outside. The amputee then sees the reflection of his healthy arm in the mirror, and it looks as if he has two healthy arms. Ramachandran then asks the amputee to think about moving the phantom limb while watching his normal limb perform the same movement in the mirror. The mirror box positions the normal arm to be recognized by the mirror neurons, allowing amputees to move the phantom limb and release it from painful positions. Several studies have proven mirror therapy to be effective, although more research is needed before mirror therapy is institutionalized by the greater medical community.

**TURN AND TALK**

Ramachandran hypothesizes that mirror neurons allow people to empathize, or to understand the feelings that another person is experiencing. Pretend you are going to conduct an experiment to measure a person's empathy or apathy. With your partner, discuss the characteristics you might look for to determine if someone is empathetic or apathetic.
The words *empathy*, *sympathy*, and *apathy* come from Greek roots.

**em-path-y**
Origin

- **GREEK**
  - em - in
  - pathos - feeling

  → **GREEK**
  - empatheia

  → **GERMAN**
  - Einfühlung

  → **empathy**

  *early 20th century*

**sym-pa-thy**
Origin

- **GREEK**
  - syn - together
  - pathos - feeling

  → **GREEK**
  - sympatheia

  → **LATIN**
  - sympathia

  → **sympathy**

  *late 16th century*

**ap-a-thy**
Origin

- **GREEK**
  - a - without
  - pathos - feeling

  → **GREEK**
  - apatheia

  → **LATIN**
  - apathia

  → **FRENCH**
  - apathie

  *early 17th century*

Some of the roots in the table can be combined to form English words. Write these words in the table, and place Xs in the cells that do not make a word. If you are unsure, consult a dictionary. The first example has been done for you.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>syn-/sym- (together)</th>
<th>a- (without)</th>
<th>em- (in)</th>
<th>tele- (over a distance)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>–pathy (feeling)</td>
<td>sympathy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>–chronize (time)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>–biotic (living)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>–phony, phone (sound)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focus Word</td>
<td>Definition</td>
<td>Sample Sentence</td>
<td>Turn and Talk</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>position (verb)</td>
<td>to set something up (a person, idea, etc.)</td>
<td>Christopher helped position Paul as a leader by telling everyone that Paul had always stood up to bullies in elementary school.</td>
<td>Describe a time when someone positioned you for success.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>articulate (verb, adjective)</td>
<td>(v) to explain clearly (adj) able to express ideas clearly, well-spoken</td>
<td>Vanessa was unable to articulate her feelings about winning the race because she was so exhausted from the run. After rehearsing for several days, Jonas gave an articulate presentation about deforestation.</td>
<td>Do you articulate your ideas best by speaking or writing? Who is your most articulate friend? Explain your choice.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>institutional (adjective)</td>
<td>of, relating to, or established by an institution such as government or the legal system</td>
<td>Because of institutional sexism, women are often paid less for doing the same jobs as men.</td>
<td>What should be done to prevent institutional racism?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reconcile (verb)</td>
<td>to bring to agreement or harmony</td>
<td>After months of civil war, the two sides reconciled and built a new government together.</td>
<td>Can enemies be forced to reconcile?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reconciliation (noun)</td>
<td>the restoring of friendly relations; the act of making opposing sides or ideas compatible</td>
<td>Nadia knew that reconciliation after the fight with her partner was necessary before they could complete the project.</td>
<td>Describe a time when you achieved reconciliation after an argument with someone. How did you solve the issue?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>complicit (adjective)</td>
<td>choosing to overlook or be involved in wrongdoing</td>
<td>Since he didn’t try to stop Shirelle from posting the video, Jeremy was found to be complicit in the cyberbullying.</td>
<td>If you knew that a classmate had cheated on a test, would you tell or remain complicit?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>compensate (verb)</td>
<td>to give something of value (usually money) to recognize effort or make up for suffering</td>
<td>Liz was compensated $10 for filling out two surveys about her experience shopping at Clothes Saver.</td>
<td>Apart from money, how could you compensate someone who saved your life?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>compensation (noun)</td>
<td>a reward, especially money, given in return for something like injury or work done</td>
<td>An employer must pay workers compensation if an employee is injured on the job.</td>
<td>Do you think kids should receive compensation for doing household chores? Why or why not?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>confront (verb)</td>
<td>to challenge someone directly; to face a problem</td>
<td>Rosa decided to confront Eddie about the rumors he’d been spreading about her sister.</td>
<td>Describe a time when you confronted a friend about his or her behavior.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>confrontational (adjective)</td>
<td>argumentative; hostile</td>
<td>Sergio’s confrontational attitude prevented him from being chosen as team captain even though he was the best player on the basketball team.</td>
<td>Name some professions where a confrontational attitude is desirable.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>apathy (noun)</td>
<td>lack of concern or interest</td>
<td>A 15% voter turnout demonstrated the citizens’ apathy toward the government.</td>
<td>Generally, it is an insult to be called apathetic. Why is it considered bad to feel apathy?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
South Africa today is a multiracial society with a population composed of whites and Asians as well as blacks. In 1500, though, southern Africa was entirely black and inhabited by Africans who had lived there since before we began recording history. In 1652, the Dutch arrived. They weren’t looking to settle down—they just needed a place for their sailors to get fresh water, meat, and fruits and to make repairs to their ships as they confronted the long journey from Rotterdam to Indonesia (which they called East India in those days) to buy spices to sell in Europe.

The Dutch East India Company established a resupply camp at present day Cape Town. At first, the sailors acquired their supplies by trading with the local residents, the Khoisan. Then conflicts broke out, and some of the Dutch laborers building the camp were encouraged to start farms and raise cattle. In other words, the resupply camp became a settlement—a successful one with excellent harvests. The white population grew, especially with the arrival of religious refugees from France in 1688 (Huguenots), and the farmers extended their settlements farther and farther out from Cape Town. Because they needed workers for the farms, they imported slaves from their East Indian colonies. The Dutch controlled the Cape Colony until 1795, when it became a prize in the British-French conflicts, and the British took control.
In the early 1800s, the Zulus led by Shaka Zulu became a military force in the territory now called KwaZulu-Natal. They conquered tribes and clans, took land, and positioned themselves as the dominant power. The Zulu invasion led to massive displacements of other Africans. When the descendants of the original Dutch settlers (now called “Afrikaners”) moved north to seek more territory, they encountered apathy rather than resistance from the defeated Africans.

Britain abolished slavery in 1833, angering the Afrikaners who then established their own self-governing states where they could continue to use slave labor. But the Afrikaner domination in their new states was threatened by the discovery of gold and diamonds in the mid-1800s. Thousands of Europeans arrived to stake land claims, and the British took over territories where gold and diamonds were found. The British-Afrikaner conflicts became fiercer because Afrikaners who were forced out by confrontational European newcomers were offered no compensation for the land they now considered theirs.

The British established plantations in the state of Natal, but found that the local Zulus would not work for them. So between 1860 and 1900, they imported thousands of laborers from India, introducing yet another element into the southern African population mix.

Though there were many battles between whites and indigenous Africans in southern Africa, the politically consequential battles were between two white groups—the British and the Afrikaners. After much conflict, the Afrikaner colonies and the British colonies reconciled their differences, signing the Treaty of Vereeniging in 1902. By 1910, the separate colonies had merged to form the Union of South Africa. At that time, 20% of the population was white, and that 20% owned or controlled 90% of the land and reserved the right to vote for themselves.

Why do you think the Dutch and the British thought they were justified in taking land that belonged to others? Do you think that if they had compensated the Africans, it would have been okay? Why or why not?
In 1948, the South African National Party won parliamentary elections on the promise it would make white power an institutional arrangement. New laws articulated the definition of four distinct population groups: white, black, Indian, and colored (of mixed black and white ancestry). Though many English-speaking politicians opposed these laws, others were complicit in their passage and enforcement. The laws specified that the four groups should not mix—that is, not live in the same neighborhoods, intermarry, go to the same schools or universities, or have access to the same jobs. These laws were collectively known as apartheid, literally meaning “apartness.” Apartheid became the law of the land; act after act was passed restricting the rights of nonwhites to own land and businesses and to reside, go to school, or even cross into areas that were designated as “white only.” While “coloreds” lost the right to vote in 1969, blacks had not been able to vote since 1905.

Blacks had to have passes to cross over into white areas to work. (Think of it as someone needing permission from the government to go from one part of New York City such as Manhattan to another part such as Queens.) In 1970, the government even took away South African citizenship from the black population, giving them instead citizenship in their tribal bantustans (black homelands). In fact, many black people in South Africa had never lived in those areas until they were forcibly relocated there. Enforcing these laws led to many conflicts between heavily armed police and blacks, ultimately leading to considerable political unrest.

Some of South Africa’s Apartheid Laws:

1950 – Act No. 30, Population Registration Act: Required every South African to be racially classified.
1950 – Act No. 41, Group Areas Act: Forced separation between races through the creation of residential areas designated for certain races.
1953 – Act No. 47, Bantu Education Act: Established a Black Education Department, creating a curriculum suited to the “nature and requirements of the black people.” The aim of this law was to prevent black South Africans from receiving an education that would allow them to work in positions that they were not allowed to hold under apartheid laws.
1970 – Act No. 26, Bantu Homelands Citizenship Act: Removed black South African citizenship and required each black person to become a citizen of the homeland designated for his or her ethnic group.

TURN AND TALK
How would restricting a person’s access to education affect his or her quality of life?
In the 1980s, world anger exploded at the institutional racism in South Africa. A movement started at universities around the world articulating the belief that apartheid should end. Many protests demanded divestment, or the removal of invested funds from companies that supported the South African government. People were willing to risk incarceration to voice their anger.

**Protests**

1. Harvard

2. England

3. Netherlands

4. South Africa

**TURN AND TALK**

When do you have a personal obligation to raise your voice against injustice? If you don’t take action against injustice, does that make you complicit?
## Legislating Racism

### Compare and Contrast

Fill in the table below with examples of how blacks in South Africa were affected by the apartheid laws in each of the areas indicated in the first column. Later, you will read about events in American Indian and African American histories and fill in the rest of the chart using information from the unit and your background knowledge.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Blacks of South Africa</th>
<th>American Indians</th>
<th>African Americans</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Participation in government</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equal access to services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential choice</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational access and content</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Nelson Mandela, at age 26, was a founder of the African National Congress (ANC) Youth League, a political action group opposing apartheid. The ANC was banned in South Africa in 1960 and listed as a terrorist organization by the U.S. government until 2008. Terrorist organizations embrace the use of violence to achieve political goals.

Mandela believed in aggressive and wide-sweeping tactics to position the ANC as a true force. In 1964, after multiple confrontations with the police and government, Mandela was sentenced to life in prison for sabotage, and sent to a prison on Robben Island, located about four miles south of Cape Town.

Mandela spent the next 27 years imprisoned. During this time, he slowly gained the respect and admiration of the wardens and continued to communicate secretly with the ANC. He remained a valuable resource to the ANC while imprisoned and even represented them during secret talks with the South African government in 1986.

In 1990, the South African government declared that ANC was no longer illegal, and nine days later Mandela was released from prison. In 1991, he was appointed president of the ANC and continued negotiations with South African President de Klerk.

Negotiations were strained by recurring police violence against nonwhites. Mandela and de Klerk struggled to find a meeting ground where they could start a dialogue toward reconciliation. In 1992, they signed a Record of Understanding, which stated that the government agreed to investigate police violence and start working toward a new constitution for South Africa—one that would dismantle the institutional racism of apartheid and allow all people to vote.

In 1993, de Klerk and Mandela jointly won the Nobel Peace Prize. The following year, Mandela was elected the first black president of South Africa. When Nelson Mandela died on December 5, 2013, at the age of 95, the entire world mourned.

TURN AND TALK
Why do you think the U.S. government labeled the ANC as a terrorist organization?
Nelson Mandela’s election to President was game changing, but South Africa was broken on many different levels. Fifty years of apartheid had impoverished the nonwhites, created huge slums, split families, and generated enormous racial tension. As president, Mandela’s next step was to try to heal his country.

The Truth and Reconciliation Commission (TRC) was created to confront the human rights violations of the apartheid era. It consisted of three committees: one that investigated human rights abuses, one that focused on rehabilitation and reparations for victims of the abuses, and one that determined if the criminals should be granted amnesty (forgiveness) for their crimes if they confessed. Mandela stated, “Only the truth can put the past to rest.”

More than 7,000 applicants asked for amnesty; just over 800 of those applicants received it. Below is an excerpt from one amnesty hearing regarding the murder of Mr. Jama, an ANC supporter. In 1991, Mr. Jama was picked up by the police after an ANC rally, thrown into a police van, and subsequently murdered. WB Harrington was one of three police officers convicted for his death.

**WB Harrington:** In conclusion I would like to say I would like to grab the opportunity firstly to apologise to Mr. Jama’s family and parents for what I was and that I am sorry that politics turned me, as a young 21-year old man, to what I was. Secondly, the previous government made me fight against the ANC and identified them as the enemy and I would like to ask you to forgive me for what I did to your son. Thank you very much.

**While asking for amnesty, Harrington read part of the transcript from his original trial:**

. . . I was not afraid of any charge of assault against me. The next logical point was to murder Mr. Jama. My honour, as interrogator, and authority was in doubt and I could not handle it that an ANC supporter could just stare me in the face without any sound or answer . . . I knew that Mr. Jama had to die. *(end of reading transcript)*

**Judge Wilson:** Is that true?

**WB Harrington:** Yes that is correct Mr. Wilson.

**Judge Wilson:** Because it was your prestige which was at stake which he was attacking. Your honour as an interrogator, as an authority, it was in the context of the onslaught against the ANC? He did not want to say anything so you decided to kill him. That is what you say there.

**WB Harrington:** Yes, that is correct.

Harrington was not granted amnesty under the guidelines that South Africa had established.

The TRC’s Reparation and Rehabilitation Policy articulated the moral and legal reasons for providing rehabilitation and reparations, taking into account the individual, community, and nation: “The present government has accepted that it must deal with the things the previous government did and that it must therefore take responsibility for reparation.”

Reparation is also known as transitional justice—the method of trying to address massive historical abuses and trauma by creating institutional change. In the case of South Africa, the policy summary stated, “These measures cannot bring back the dead, or adequately compensate for pain and suffering, but they can improve the quality of life for victims of gross human rights violations and/or their dependents.”

**DISCUSSION QUESTION**

Harrington was found to be complicit in the murder of Mr. Jama and as a result he did not receive amnesty. Since he came forward and confronted his wrongs, should he have received a reduced sentence?
American Indians: Displaced and Disdained

Below is some information about various events from American Indian history. Fill in the empty boxes in the chart on page 26.

- When Europeans colonized what is now the United States in the 17th century, millions of people were already living there. These people were the Native Americans, also known as American Indians.
- Whites saw Native Americans as an obstacle that needed to be removed. By the end of the 18th century, the American Indian population had been reduced to a few hundred thousand; the rest had died in battles or from diseases carried by the Europeans against which Native Americans had no immunity.
- The Indian Removal Act of 1830 forced tribes in the southeastern United States to give up their tribal lands and relocated them to reservations west of the Mississippi River. Men, women, and children had to walk more than a thousand miles from the East Coast states to what is now Oklahoma. During the walk, thousands of Native Americans died of exposure, disease, and starvation. Their journey became known as the Trail of Tears.

In 1987, 2,200 miles were designated by the federal government as the Trail of Tears National Historic Trail.

- The Dawes Act of 1887 authorized the President to take reservation land away from the American Indians. The government gave small plots of reservation land to individuals and sold the rest to non-American Indians. About 90 million acres of treaty land were taken, and 90,000 American Indians became homeless as a result.
- In the 1870s, the Bureau of Indian Affairs developed a systematic approach to eradicating American Indian culture. American Indian children were taken from their homes and sent to boarding schools hundreds of miles away. At the boarding schools, the children's long hair was cut, and they were beaten if they spoke in their native language or expressed any other aspect of their culture. By 1900, 10% of the American Indian population had attended a boarding school.
- The Indian Citizenship Act of 1924 granted citizenship to American Indians, although until 1956, state laws in certain states prohibited American Indians from voting.
- The Indian Termination Policy was in effect from the 1940s to the 1960s. Under this federal policy, 109 tribes lost tribal status, which made them ineligible for institutional supports such as education programs, health care, tax exemptions, and tribal lands.

- In 1968, the American Indian Movement (AIM) was formed to empower American Indians and expose failures in the U.S. justice system. In 1973, Mohawk elder Louis Hall articulated the purpose of AIM: “Pledged to fight White Man's injustice to Indians, his oppression, persecution, discrimination and malfeasance in the handling of Indian Affairs.” AIM founded schools, occupied government buildings, and led marches to improve the condition of American Indians.
- Today, American Indians and Alaska Natives make up 1.2 percent of the U.S. population. When compared to the general American population, American Indians have lower life expectancies, higher suicide rates, higher poverty rates, and lower levels of education.

A reservation is a piece of land set aside by the federal government for the exclusive use of American Indians.

The AIM occupied Alcatraz Prison in San Francisco for 19 months.
African Americans: Enslaved and Ignored

Below is some information about various events from African American history. Fill in the empty boxes in the chart on page 26.

- Africans were first brought to the Americas as slaves in the 1600s. Africans were packed into large ships and chained together with little or no space to move. Scholars estimate that 15% of these Africans died during the journey across the Atlantic from the brutality, disease, and filthy conditions they experienced.

- In 1700, about 10% of the American population was slaves. Slaves received no compensation for their work and were treated as property. A 1705 law in the colony of Virginia clearly showcased the state’s apathy toward the suffering of slaves: “If any slave resists his master...correcting such a slave, [if the slave] shall happen to be killed in such correction...the master shall be free of all punishment...as if such accident never happened.”

- Most slave owners believed that literate slaves would be more difficult to control. Slaves who were caught reading or writing were confronted and beaten, and sometimes their toes and fingers were cut off. The Alabama Slave Code of 1833 articulated the state’s stance on slave literacy: “Any person or persons who shall attempt to teach any free person of color, or slave, to spell, read, or write, shall, upon conviction thereof by indictment, be fined in a sum not less than two hundred and fifty dollars, nor more than five hundred dollars.”

- By 1800, all northern states had abolished slavery. The Emancipation Proclamation of 1863 outlawed slavery in the entire United States.

- Until 1870, blacks were not allowed to vote. Then, the 15th Amendment affirmed the following: “The right of citizens of the United States to vote shall not be denied or abridged by the United States or by any State on account of race, color, or previous condition of servitude.” Regardless, African Americans were prevented from voting in several states through tactics such as literacy tests and poll taxes. In some states, a “Grandfather Clause” stated that a man could not be exempted from a literacy test unless his grandfather voted before January 1, 1867—the first voting opportunity after the Emancipation Proclamation.

- The 1896 Supreme Court case Plessy v. Ferguson declared racial segregation lawful as long as facilities were “separate but equal.” Laws enforcing institutionalized segregation were known as “Jim Crow” laws. These laws restricted black people’s access to quality employment, education, recreation, and health care.

- In the 1954 case Brown v. Board of Education, the Supreme Court unanimously decided that segregating schools violates the Fourteenth Amendment because separate facilities are inherently unequal. This paved the way for integration and the repealing of Jim Crow laws.

- In the 1950s, groups such as the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People (NAACP) and the Southern Christian Leadership Conference (SCLC) used education, legislation, and direct action (e.g., boycotts, sit-ins, and marches) to fight for equal treatment under the law. Under the leadership of Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr., and others, lawful racial segregation in the United States ended with the passage of the Civil Rights Act of 1964.

- Representative John Conyers, Jr., has introduced legislation each year since 1989 to establish a government commission that would study the institution of slavery and treatment of freed slaves, explore the impact of slavery on present day African Americans, and make recommendations to Congress about how to remedy the damage done on living African Americans. Conyers’ bill still has not been passed.

TURN AND TALK: Some people say that the election of President Obama proves that racism in America has ended. Do you agree? How would you explain your position?
Extension  
position • articulate • institutional • reconcile • complicit • confront • compensate • apathy

Racial Disparities in the U.S. Over Time

Examine the information below with a partner. Then answer the question at the bottom of the next page.

% of population

1950
90% White
10% Nonwhite

2012
63% White
13% Non-Hispanic
24% Other

% of race living in poverty

1959
17% White
55% Black

2010
10% White
25% Black

% of each race that graduated high school

1970
White Males 54%
Black Males 30%
White Females 55%
Black Females 33%

2013
White Males 87%
Black Males 84%
White Females 88%
Black Females 85%

% of each race that graduated college

1970
White Males 14%
Black Males 4%
White Females 8%
Black Females 5%

2013
White Males 31%
Black Males 8%
White Females 30%
Black Females 21%
Racial Disparities in the U.S. Over Time

**Median Income**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>White Males</th>
<th>Black Males</th>
<th>White Females</th>
<th>Black Females</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1950</td>
<td>$18,000</td>
<td>$9,800</td>
<td>$7,000</td>
<td>$3,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>$53,500</td>
<td>$40,800</td>
<td>$41,400</td>
<td>$34,300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Representatives elected to Congress**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>White Males</th>
<th>Black Males</th>
<th>White Females</th>
<th>Black Females</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1950</td>
<td>437 of 449</td>
<td>2 of 449</td>
<td>0 of 449</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>301 of 445</td>
<td>30 of 445</td>
<td>82 of 445</td>
<td>16 of 445</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TURN, TALK, AND WRITE**

Identify a trend that you find hopeful and one that you find problematic.

_______________________________________________________________________________________
_______________________________________________________________________________________
_______________________________________________________________________________________